## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

FILED
MAY 25 2017

United States of America,	Case No. 4 17 70000 WATER DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING ) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Efrain Romers - Cagal Defendant.	
Trial Act from <u>May 25, 2017</u> , to continuance outweigh the best interest of	record on May 25, 2117, the Court excludes time under the Speedy 20171, and finds that the ends of justice served by the the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ling and bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continu See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)	nance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. $(7)(B)(I)$ .
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreason	r so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact hable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial its established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	nance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, xercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	nance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given the case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv).
<u> </u>	nance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time reparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv).
	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § y resulting from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
DATED: 5 25/17	Kandis A. Westmore
STINITE ATTENDED TO THE STATE OF THE STATE O	United States Magistrate Judge

Attorney for Defendant